

Application of Effective Micro-organisms (EM) as Silage Improver

P.G van Wikselaar and S.J.W.H. Oude Elferink

Report ID-Lelystad no. 2165



Summary

This study exists of two parts.

Part 1: Determining the minimal required incubation time and incubation temperature of EM-A as an inoculant for grass silage. The number of lactic acid bacteria in EM-A has been observed during 10 days incubation at three temperatures (15, 20 and 25° C).

Part 2: To determine the positive effect of EM-A and EM-Silage during the ensilage process in order to conserve and preserve Dutch grass silage. Laboratory silages have been made and the effects of EM-A and EM-silage have been observed with respect to the initial fermentation (pH decrease after 6 days incubation) and the final product (micro flora composition, fermentation profile and aerobic stability of the silage after two months incubation).

At 1: The usual dosage for lactic acid bacteria as a silage inoculant is minimal 1.10^5 cfu (colony forming units) lactic acid bacteria per gram silage. The recommended dosage for EM-A will show a minimal required number lactic acid bacteria of 1.10^8 cfu g^{-1} inoculant. According to Agriton the prescribed incubation time of 7 days appeared to be very sufficient to cultivate the required number of lactic acid bacteria. After 7 days all EM-A inoculants contained more than 5.10^8 cfu lactic acid bacteria g^{-1} . At higher incubation temperatures of 20 and 25° C an incubation time of 2 or 3 days was sufficient to cultivate about 1.10^8 cfu lactic acid bacteria per gram inoculant. An incubation time of more than 10 days was not meaningful, because the number of lactic acid bacteria in EM-A already decreased. (mortality phase).

At 2: EM-A as well as EM-Silage had a positive effect on the fermentation and the aerobic stability of grass silages. After two months the pH in the treated silages in comparison with the control silages was about 0.7 units lower (pH 4.4 towards pH 5.1). Only EM-A had also a positive effect on the initial acidification. After 6 days the pH of the EM-treated silages in comparison with EM-Silage treated silages and the control silages was already about 1 pH-unit lower (pH 5.5 towards pH 6.5 / 6.6). As the effect of both additives regarding the velocity in acidification was greater for EM-A than for EM-Silage is possibly explainable due to the greater number of lactic acid bacteria, which has been added to the silages through the EM-A treatment in comparison with the EM-Silage treatment. Through EM-A 2.10^5 cfu lactic acid bacteria g^{-1} grass and through EM-Silage 3.10^4 lactic acid bacteria g^{-1} grass.

Lactic acid, acetic acid and ethanol were the dominant fermentation products in all silages after 2 months incubation. The grade of conserving lactic acid and acetic acid was in the EM-A and EM-Silage treated silages much higher than in the control silage. Besides this high grades 1,2 propanediol and 1-propanol have been measured in EM-A as well as in EM-Silage treated silages, which could point to the activity of *Lactobacillus buchneri* and / or related lactic acid bacteria. These bacteria could play an important role in improving the aerobic stability of silages. EM-A and EM-Silage increased the aerobic stability of grass silages after two months inoculation. The control silages got already heated after 60 hours, whereas the treated silages kept 3 weeks stable. As a conclusion it can be stated that in this study EM-A as well as EM-Silage improved the fermentation quality of the grass silages and the aerobic stability.

Introduction

This study has been executed in co-operation with Agriton.nl. Agriton is a company involved in creating sustainable agriculture. Effective Microorganisms (EM) play an important role. Effective Microorganisms is a product developed by Prof. Dr T. Higa from the Ryukyus University in Japan. EM contains of a mixture of micro-organisms consisting of five groups: lactic acid bacteria, photosynthetic bacteria, yeasts, actinomycetes and fungi.

Lactic acid bacteria play a major role in the acidification of grass silage. The number of which in EM-1 are about 1.10^6 cfu (colony forming units/millilitre). EM-1 is the name of the dormant material Effective Microorganisms, which EM Limited delivers in plastic bottles of 1 litre and in jerry cans of 10 liters. In order to apply these Effective Microorganisms as a silage inoculant one has to activate EM-1. Activating EM-1 takes place by adding molasses (or sugar, C 6) to EM-1 and this solution has to be incubated in an air-tight container for a duration of 7 days at 40° C. This activated EM is called EM-Activated (EM-A) and has a shelf life of 30 days. Besides EM-1 Agriton produces a ready made product (no activation is necessary) namely EM-Silage. EM-Silage is a product to improve the silage process for grass maize and whole crop silages. EM-Silage consists of a mixture of Micro-organisms among which are bacteria and yeasts, which not only cause an acceleration of a pH decrease (lactic acid bacteria) but also produce a number of bio-active substances.

These bio-active substances have according to Agriton an increase in taste and an inhibition of heat in silages.

In the first part of this study the optimal incubation time and incubation temperature of EM-A as an inoculant for grass silage has been researched. Starting from the principal that lactic acid bacteria play the most important role during the ensiling process.

In the second part of this study the positive effects of EM-A and EM-Silage in the ensiling process regarding the preparation and conservation of Dutch grass silage have been researched.

Materials and methods

3.1 Part 1, Optimisation of the pre-culture

EM-1 has been activated mixing 0.6 litres EM-1 (as delivered by Agriton) and 0.6 litre sugar cane molasses (also delivered by Agriton) and 18.8 litres of water. This solution has been divided in 6 equal parts. These parts have been incubated twice (15, 20 and 25° C.) in 5 litres glass bottles. On moment $t = 0$ and after 1, 2, 3, 5, 7 and 10 days incubation a sample of each bottle has been taken in order to determine the number of lactic acid bacteria.

3.2 part 2 Effect of EM-A and EM-Silage on grass silage

3.2.1 Ensiling

The ensiling experiment has been executed with grass, mainly consisting of English ray-grass, from a permanent meadow at the experimental farm of ID-Lelystad (plot number 122). The grass has been mowed with a cycle-mower on May 7th and has been pre-dried during about 40 hours until a dry matter of plus minus 50% has been achieved. The pre-dried grass has been cut with a grass cutter and divided into three parts of about 15 kilograms. Each part got another treatment. The inoculant has been mixed with the grass thoroughly. The treatments were as follows:

Control (25 millilitres per kilogram grass)

Recommended dosage EM-A (0, 80 ml EM-A filled up to 25 ml water per kilogram grass) ^(a)

Recommended dosage EM-Silage (0, 08 ml EM-Silage filled up to 25 ml water per kilogram grass) ^(b)

^(a)EM-1 has been activated by mixing 0,6 litre EM-1 (as delivered by Agriton) with 0,6 litre sugar cane molasses and 18.8 litres water and incubated during 15 days in an air-tight container at 20° C (according to the application manual 7 days incubation is sufficient for a strong multiplication of micro-organisms. The obtained EM-A will last for 14 days).

EM-A has been added into the silage according to the recommended dosage. This is for an EM-A silage 33.3 litres per 100 m³, 1 m³ silage has the equivalent of about 200 kilograms dry matter (IKC, 1993).

The pre-dried grass had a dry matter of 50%. So 33.3 litres of EM-A per 40 tons silage was necessary (= 0.8 litres EM-A per ton silage).

^(b) EM-Silage has been added to the silage according to the recommended dosage (= 0, 08 litre EM-Silage per ton silage).

From each treatment one 1 litre preserving-bottle and one 2 kilograms plastic bag (2 layers) have been filled in quadruplicate. The silos have been stored at 20 plus minus 1° C in the dark.

After 1 week incubation 2 preserving-bottles have been emptied in order to determine the pH.

After 2 months incubation 2 preserving bottles per treatment have been emptied to determine the pH, dry matter contents, contents of volatile fatty acids, lactic acids and ammonia and the number of yeasts and moulds present in the silage. At the same time 2 bags have been emptied in order to determine the aerobic stability.

3.2.2 Analyses

3.2.2.1 Chemical analyses

Dry matter has been determined according procedure NEN 3332 of the Dutch Normalisation Institute. Lactic acid, volatile fatty acids and alcohols have been determined with HPLC (Oude Elferink et al., 2001) Ammonia has been determined via the modified method of Berthold (Robinson et al., 1986). pH has been measured in a watery extract which have also been used fore the microbial analyses.

3.2.2.2 Microbial analyses

Microbial analyses have been executed with a watery extract of 30 grams silage and 270 grams of demineralised water which has been treated in a stomacher (Seward, London).

Decimal dilution sequences have been made in PFZ (peptone 1.0 gram per liter⁻¹, sodium chloride 8.5 grams per litre⁻¹). Lactic acid bacteria have been counted on double casted plates with MRS agar (oxid). Yeasts and moulds have been counted on double casted plates with Mald Extract Agar, acidified with lactic acid up to a pH 3.5. The plates have been incubated during 3 days at 30°C.

3.2.3 Aerobic stability

Silage samples (100 grams) have been incubated in polyethylene foam trays at 20 plus minus 1° C. The lid and the bottom of the trays have been perforated so that oxygen could enter and CO₂ could escape. The temperature has been measured continuously with a thermo-couple.

The aerobic stability is defined as the time which is required to raise the temperature till 1° C above the reference silage. The reference silage has been made by treating with a mixture of formic acid and propionic acid (6.6 and 10.7 grams kilograms silage, respectively).

Results and discussions

4.1 Part 1

The effect of the incubation temperature with respect to the numbers of lactic acid bacteria during the incubation period has been stated in figure 1. During the entire measuring period (10 days) an increase in the number of lactic acid bacteria (from plus minus $3 \cdot 10^6$ cfu ml⁻¹ at day 0 till $1 \cdot 10^9$ cfu ml⁻¹ at day 10) has been observed in all three incubation temperatures (15, 20 and 25 °C). At the highest temperature (25°) the increase was the fastest.

But from day 2 the difference in increase has disappeared between 20° C and 25° C. The increase in the number of lactic acid bacteria at 15° C was at the back until day 7. After that the increase was similar at 20 and 25° C. After 7 days incubation there was not any longer a difference in lactic acid bacteria (plus minus $5 \cdot 10^8$ cfu ml⁻¹) between the 3 incubation temperatures. After 10 days the numbers of lactic acid bacteria has been increased till plus minus $1 \cdot 10^9$ cfu ml⁻¹. Probably this was the maximum because an extra count on day 15 at 20° C showed a lower number (number of lactic acid bacteria decreased until plus minus $2 \cdot 10^8$ cfu ml⁻¹). According to the application manual EM-A after 7 days incubation would still be useful up to 14 days. It is clear that the numbers of lactic acid bacteria will be lower than after 7 days (at day 15 about a factor 2 lower than at day 7). It is definitely not optimal to use EM-A 21 days after preparation during ensiling, the lactic acid bacteria are then already in the mortality phase.

Realising that a minimal inoculation level of $1 \cdot 10^5$ cfu gram⁻¹ grass is required for a fast acidification, means that EM-A should contain minimal $1 \cdot 10^8$ cfu ml⁻¹ (at an inoculation level of 0.8 ml EM-A per kilogram grass). At 20 and 25° C this has already been achieved after 2 days and at 15° C after 5 days.

The required incubation time could be shorter regarding the number of lactic acid bacteria (2-5 days instead of 7 days).




Figure 1. Growth of lactic acid bacteria in EM-A at different incubation temperatures.

4.2 Part 2

4.2.1 Dosage of Lactic Acid Bacteria

The number of added lactic acid bacteria on grass treated with EM-A was 2×10^5 cfu lactic acid bacteria per gram grass and on grass treated with EM-Silage 3×10^4 cfu lactic acid bacteria per gram grass.

4.2.2 Dry Matter

Dry matter content of the grass before ensiling was $480 \text{ gram kilogram}^{-1}$.

4.2.3 pH

The effect of EM-A and EM-Silage on the pH of grass-silages after 2 month of incubation was equal. The pH in the treated silages in comparison with the control silages plus minus 0.7 units lower (pH 4.4 with regard to pH 5.1). This was concerned the silages in the preserving-bottles (table 2). Regarding the silages in bags (table 1) the difference in pH between the treated and control silages was still greater, viz. plus minus 1.4 units (pH 4.4 with regard to pH 5.8).

The effect of EM-A and EM-Silage on the pH of grass silages after 6 days incubation was not equal. With regard to the control silages only EM-A showed a decrease of the pH (pH 5.5 with regard to pH 6.5). Probably this has been caused by the initial number of lactic acid bacteria in the silage. The number of lactic acid bacteria added by EM-A (plus minus $2.10^5 \text{ cfu gram}^{-1}$ grass) was a factor 7 higher than the number added by EM-Silage ($3.10^4 \text{ cfu gram}^{-1}$ grass). The difference in inoculation level between EM-A and EM-Silage did not have any effect on the final pH after 2 months incubation, but still on the initial pH decrease velocity. A fast pH decrease in silage reduces the chance of unwished silage fermentations.

Table 1: effect of additives EM-A (EMA) and EM-Silage (EMS) with respect to weight losses, pH and aerobic stability of grass silages in 1 kilogram bags after 2 month incubation. The figures are averages of duplicate incubations.

	<u>After 2 months incubation</u>		
	Control	EMS	EMA
Loss of weight (g kg^{-1})	39.0 ^a	25.8 ^b	23.9 ^b
PH	5.88 ^a	4.36 ^b	4.29 ^b
Aerobic stability (hours)	60 ^a	>525 ^b	>525 ^b

Averages in a row with different subscript letter code are significantly different ($p < 0.05$)

4.2.5 Aerobic stability and fermentation products

EM-A and EM-Silage increased the aerobic stability of grass silages after 2 months incubation. The control silages started to heat up after 60 hours, as the treated silages kept stable for more than 3 weeks (table 1). As a standard the aerobic stability test will be finished after 3 weeks because the test is not any longer representative for the silage situation (the materials dry too much). Explaining

the differences in aerobic stability the number of putrefaction organisms (yeasts and fungi) and the amount of organic acids (lactic acid, acetic acid) play an important role.

The number of putrefaction organisms were for all silages very low and were below the detection border ($<10^2$ cfu gram⁻¹) or just somewhat above (table 2).

Lactic acid, acetic acid and ethanol were in all silages the dominant fermentation products after 2 months incubation (table 2). The content of lactic acid and acetic acid was in the EM-A and EM-Silage treated silages much higher than in the control silage. Besides this the EM-A as well as with the EM-Silage treated silages had higher contents of 1,2-propanediol and 1-propanol. This could be concluded that a stimulation of the activity of *Lactobacillus buchnerie* and/or related lactic acid bacteria took place. These bacteria play an important role in improving the aerobic stability of silages (Oude Elferink et al., 2001). The higher contents of ammonia in the silage treated with EM-silage could be built up by lactic acid bacteria, but also by other bacteria in the EM-inoculant. The positive effect of EM-A and EM-Silage on the aerobic stability of the treated silages with regard to the control silage harmonises well with the much higher concentrations of conserving acids in the silage treated with EM-silage.

Table 2: Effect of additives EM-A (EMA) and EM-Silage (EMS) on silage characteristics of grass silages in 1 litre preserving-bottles after 6 days and 2 months incubation.

The figures are the averages of incubations in duplicate.

	<u>After 6 days incubation</u>			<u>After 2 month incubation</u>		
	Control	EMS	EMA	Control	EMS	EMA
Dry matter (g kg ⁻¹)	nd ¹	nb	nb	451	440	436
Weight loss (g kg ⁻¹)	2.73 ^a	3.00 ^a	6.70 ^b	11.5 ^a	24.0 ^b	21.2 ^b
pH	6.55 ^a	6.50 ^a	5.49 ^b	5.11 ^a	4.42 ^b	4.36 ^b
Yeasts (log cfu g ⁻¹)	nd	nd	nd	2.15	<2	<2
Fungi (log cfu g ⁻¹)	nd	nd	nd	<2	<2	<2
Lactic acid (g kg ⁻¹ dry matter)	nd	nd	nd	41.9	79.3	85.2
Acetic acid (g kg ⁻¹ dry matter)	nd	nd	nd	7.6	36.2	39.2
Ethanol (g kg ⁻¹ dry matter)	nd	nd	nd	11.2	17.7	11.7
1,2- Propanediol (g kg ⁻¹ dry matter)	nd	nd	nd	0	10.0	9.0
2,3- Buthanediol (g kg ⁻¹ dry matter)	nd	nd	nd	0,3	0,3	0,3
Propionic acid (g kg ⁻¹ dry matter)	nd	nd	nd	2.2	2.4	2.7
1-Propanol (g kg ⁻¹ dry matter)	nd	nd	nd	0	2,3	2,9
Ammonia (g kg ⁻¹ dry matter)	nd	nd	nd	2.5	3.5	3.6

Averages in a row with different superscript letter code and the same incubation time are significantly different (p<0.05)

nd¹= not determined

5 Conclusions

Treatment of the grass with EM-A as well as EM-Silage during ensiling had a very clear positive effect on the final pH and the aerobic stability of grass silage in this experiment in comparison with non inoculated silage. EM-A had besides this, also a clear positive effect on the initial acidification velocity.

Activation of EM-1 according to the recommended method by Agriton was very satisfying to activate the inoculant, but this method can be improved (the recommended activation time can be possibly decreased at higher incubation temperatures).

6 References

- Informatie en Kenniscentrum Veehouderij (IKC) (1993) Manuaal for dairy farming, IKC Lelystad.
- Oude Elferink, S.J.W.H., Krooneman, F., Gottschal, J.C., Spoelstra, S.F., Faber, F., Driehuis, F. (2001) Anaerobic conversion of lactic acid to acetic acid and 1,2-propanediol by *Lactobacillus buchneri* Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 67:125-132
- Robinson, P.H., Tamminga, S., van Vuuren, A.M. (1986) Influence of declining level of feed intake varying the proportion of starch in the concentrate on rumen fermentation in dairy cows. Livestock Prod. Sci. 15:173-189.